Journal of Global Business and Technology

Statement of Commitment to Peer Review and Editorial Oversight

A manuscript is first reviewed by the Editor-in-Chief to see if it is within the scope of the journal. If it is within the scope, an Editor sends the manuscript to be blind reviewed by at least two subject specialists selected for their expert knowledge. The corresponding author of each manuscript is provided with each reviewer's completed JGBAT Review Form which includes qualitative comments and suggestions. JGBAT Review Forms also include questions that are addressed specifically by reviewers to help guide the editor's judgement on whether to accept the manuscript. When revising a manuscript, authors are asked to enclose a detailed set of notes to each reviewer and to try to highlight in the manuscript itself where any changes were made. Revised manuscripts are reviewed by the original referees. The Editor-in-Chief makes the final decision.

The refereeing process takes about 4-8 weeks from date of receipt of the article to communication of initial decision to the author. Any reviewers suggested by an author will not be used for that author's paper.

The JGBAT Editorial Review Board is available at https://gbata.org/journal-of-global-business-and-technology-jgbat/editorial-review-board/ JGBAT Editors are available at https://gbata.org/journal-of-global-business-and-technology-jgbat/editors/

The JGBAT Editors Team and Editorial Review Board cover a wide array of expertise and geographical representation.

Responsibilities of Reviewers

- Review Forms should be in English.
- Reviewers should provide qualitative comments and suggestions of at least 100 words as they help immensely in improving the quality of the scholarly works. For suggested improvements, please list suggestions that could help strengthen the work in a revision.
- Treat the authors the way you would want to be treated. Specifically, comments and tone should be professional and courteous. Avoid any personal, unnecessary, or hostile remarks. Note that the Editor-in-Chief may remove any language deemed as inappropriate.
- A reviewer can refuse to review a paper if they feel the paper is not within their expertise or if the reviewer has a conflict of interest.
- Reviewers should refuse a review if they know the identity of the author.
- Reviewers must avoid writing anything that could identify the reviewer to the author.
- Review comments should be devoid of personal bias.
- Reviewers should express their views clearly with supporting arguments and references.
- Reviewers should discuss suspected misconduct with the Editor-in-Chief only.

Responsibilities of Editors

- Editors make the final decision regarding acceptance or rejection. The Editor-in-Chief reserves the right to refuse any manuscripts, whether an invitation or otherwise.
- Editors make their decisions devoid of personal bias.
- The Editor-in-Chief cannot publish a paper in the journal. The Editor-in-Chief can publish non-refereed materials such as the Editorial. The Editor-in-Chief will handle editorial responsibility for any submission by a JGBAT Editor.
- Editors should only discuss information about a submission with the reviewers and authors.
- At least two reviewers are invited to blind review each manuscript.
- If a reviewer provides evidence to an Editor that the conclusion of a submission is incorrect, the Editor must promptly inform the Author. If evidence is provided to an Editor that the conclusion of a published manuscript is incorrect, the Editor must promptly inform the Author and publish a correction.
- JGBAT is committed to diverse geographical representation of reviewers. Editors are encouraged to take this into consideration when selecting reviewers.
- JGBAT Editors follow the rules recommended by the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) available at https://publicationethics.org/core-practices